

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnita 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,828	8 08/19/2003		Hakan Dahlberg	355.821CIPI	5155	
33369	7590	02/24/2005		EXAMINER		
	W OFFICES	HOEY, BETSE	HOEY, BETSEY MORRISON			
PHOENIX,	A RATON RO. AZ 85022	AD		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•				1724	<u> </u>	
				DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·		W				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	,				
		10/643,828	DAHLBERG, HAKAN					
Office Action	on Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Betsey M Hoey	1724					
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	ATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress				
THE MAILING DATE C - Extensions of time may be averafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If the period for reply specified - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set of	UTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' PF THIS COMMUNICATION. ailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 e mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a replied above, the maximum statutory period or extended period for reply will, by statute the later than three months after the mailing tt. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No., cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this of BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on <u>06 Ju</u>	uly 2004.						
2a)☐ This action is FIN	IAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this applica	ation is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to th	e merits is				
closed in accorda	ance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		ų						
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) is 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) is	are rejected.	wn from consideration.						
9) ☐ The specification	is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) file	The drawing(s) filed on 8/19/63 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not a	request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	ing sheet(s) including the correct		***	` '				
·	ration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attack	ned Oπice Action or form P	10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some 1.□ Certified co 2.⊠ Certified co 3.□ Copies of t application	is made of a claim for foreign e * c) None of: opies of the priority document opies of the priority document he certified copies of the priority from the International Bureau letailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received ir rity documents have be u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No. 10/451,90 en received in this Nationa	• ,				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited			w Summary (PTO-413)					
	tent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)				

Art Unit: 1724

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, there appears to be a missing term from the second step (e.g. "a second member" should be "providing a second member") such that the second step is in the form of a structural limitation of an apparatus claim rather than a method step. Also in claim 1, and in claim 10, it is unclear how a critical bubble diameter greater than some distance can prevent a bubble from growing greater than said distance. The examination of the claims in light of prior art requires that this indefinite limitation be further explained and clarified. In claim 2, "the slurry" lacks antecedent basis because claim 1 refers to "a liquid or slurry of a liquid" rather than "a slurry". Correction of this claim would require correction of the same term in claims 3 and 5-9. Claims 2 and 7 are rejected as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the relationship of the fermentation tank to the members and vibrating devices recited in claim 1. Claim 5 is rejected as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the relationship between the mixer, the fermentation tank of

Art Unit: 1724

claim 3, and the members and vibrating devices of claim 1. In claim 8, "the

fermentation tank" and "the transducers" both lack antecedent basis.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betsey Hoey whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1158**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays, and Thursdays. The examiner's supervisor, Mr. Duane Smith, may be reached at (571) 272-1166. Any inquiry of general nature may be directed to the Group receptionist at (571) 272-0987. The centralized fax number for the Group is (703) 872-9306. The examiner Rightfax number is (571) 273-1158.

BETSEY MORRISON HOEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

February 22, 2005